

### REMARKS

The Applicants do not believe that examination of the foregoing amendment will result in the introduction of new matter into the present application for invention. Therefore, the Applicants, respectfully, request that the above amendment be entered in and that the claims to the present application, kindly, be reconsidered.

The Office Action dated May 9, 2005 has been received and considered by the Applicants. Claims 1-59 are pending in the present application for invention. Claims 1-59 are rejected by the May 9, 2005 Office Action.

The Office Action rejects Claim 14 under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph for insufficient antecedent basis for the term dynamic video content. This has been corrected by the foregoing amendment to Claim 14.

The Office Action rejects Claims 1-2, 4-11, 13-25, 27, 29, 31-38, 40-52 and 54-59 under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,553,221 issued to Reimer et al. (hereinafter referred to as Reimer et al.). The rejection asserts that Reimer et al. discloses all the elements of the rejected claims. The rejection further asserts that the subject matter defined by Claim 22, wherein the query received by the software is in indefinite form and recasting the received query in definite form. The Examiner's position is that the automated determination of answers to questions taught by Reimer et al. is equivalent to determining that the query received by the software is in indefinite form and recasting the received query in definite form as defined by Claim 22. Therefore, in order to clearly distinguish the present invention from the teachings of Reimer et al., the independent claims to the present invention have been amended to define subject matter for ascertaining if the query needs to be recast and prompting for user input if the query needs to be recast. Reimer et al. do not disclose, or suggest, that any form of further user input is to be used in making the determination of recasting. Therefore, the claims covered by this rejection are believed to be allowable over the cited references.

The Office Action rejects Claim 3, 12, 30 and 39 under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unaptable over Reimer et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,766,320 issued in the name of Wang et al. (hereinafter referred to as Wang et al.). The Examiner states that Wang et al. disclose a query system that uses the internet. The Applicants, respectfully, submit that this rejection is moot in view of the above discussed amendment to the claims.

The Office Action rejects Claim 26 and 53 under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unaptable over Reimer et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,061,056 issued in the name of Menard(hereinafter referred to as Menard). The Examiner states that Menard discloses a system that allows the user make inquiries to extract video and that takes into account the user preferences. The Applicants, respectfully, submit that this rejection is moot in view of the above discussed amendment to the claims.

Applicant is not aware of any additional patents, publications, or other information not previously submitted to the Patent and Trademark Office which would be required under 37 C.F.R. 1.99.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, the Applicant believes that the present application is in condition for allowance, with such allowance being, respectfully, requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By 

James D. Leimbach  
Patent Attorney Reg. No. 34,374

Please address all correspondence for this application to:  
Michael E. Belk, Senior Intellectual Property Counsel  
Philips Intellectual Property & Standards  
Philips Electronics N.A. Corp.  
P.O. Box 3001  
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001 USA  
Tel No. (914) 333-9643

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION  
I hereby certify that this correspondence  
is being transmitted on this date via  
facsimile transmission to (703) 872-9306 AND addressed to:  
Mail Stop: Amendment  
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date of Transmission: August 9, 2005

(Signature)

By:

  
James D. Leimbach

Serial No. 09/818,303

12